Committee: Healthier Communities and Older People

Overview and Scrutiny Committee

Date: 12 February 2013

Agenda item: 9

Wards:

Subject: GP Funding Review

Lead member: Councillor Suzanne Evans, Chair of the Healthier Communities and Older People overview and scrutiny panel.

Contact officer: Stella Akintan, stella.akintan@merton.gov.uk; 020 8545 3390

Recommendations:

A. That the Panel comment on the latest updates regarding NHS South West London review of GP Funding.

B.

1 PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1. This Panel has requested details about each of the GP surgeries in Merton has been affected by the recent NHS South West London Review of their terms and conditions. This information is attached

2 DETAILS

2.1. In November, The Director for Primary Care, NHS South West London Cluster attended the Panel to discuss the progress with the review and implications of these new measures. Anonymised statistical data for each surgery in Merton is attached as requested.

3 ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The Healthier Communities and Older People Overview and Scrutiny Panel can select topics for scrutiny review and for other scrutiny work as it sees fit, taking into account views and suggestions from officers, partner organisations and the public.

Cabinet is constitutionally required to receive, consider and respond to scrutiny recommendations within two months of receiving them at a meeting.

3.1. Cabinet is not, however, required to agree and implement recommendations from Overview and Scrutiny. Cabinet could agree to implement some, or none, of the recommendations made in the scrutiny review final report.

4 CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN OR PROPOSED

4.1. The Panel will be consulted at the meeting

5 TIMETABLE

5.1. The Panel will consider important items as they arise as part of their work programme for 2012/13

6 FINANCIAL. RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS

6.1. None relating to this covering report

7 LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

7.1. None relating to this covering report. . Scrutiny work involves consideration of the legal and statutory implications of the topic being scrutinised.

8 HUMAN RIGHTS, EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHESION IMPLICATIONS

8.1. It is a fundamental aim of the scrutiny process to ensure that there is full and equal access to the democratic process through public involvement and engaging with local partners in scrutiny reviews. Furthermore, the outcomes of reviews are intended to benefit all sections of the local community.

9 CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

9.1. None relating to this covering report. Scrutiny work involves consideration of the crime and disorder implications of the topic being scrutinised.

10 RISK MANAGEMENT AND HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

10.1. None relating to this covering report

11 APPENDICES – THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE TO BE PUBLISHED WITH THIS REPORT AND FORM PART OF THE REPORT

GP Funding Review data.

12 BACKGROUND PAPERS

12.1. Minutes of previous meetings

Comparison showing Blook payments before and after revised PMS contract as at 1.4.11 - Merton

	Actual							
Borough	list size	weighted list size	Actual payment 1.4.11	April £/patient weighted	Revised PMS contract block sume total	New Pms £ per patient	difference in income from Current PMS contract to revised PMS contract	% change in income
		as at 1.4.11	includes OOH deduction	05.05	744.070.50	00.44	(22, 122, 24)	00
	9861		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	95.85	741,673.56	88.41	(62,422.91)	
	4787		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100.17	448,501.29	92.28	(38,354.04)	
	11626		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	96.61	883,629.16	88.41	(81,989.93)	
	5328		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	104.58	384,519.49	87.52	(74,967.32)	
	6659			78.31	541,100.19	87.52	56,935.93	
	9291 8570		822,026.35 749,819.36	96.86 82.89	750,292.39 834,781.89	88.41 92.28	(71,733.96) 84,962.53	
	5999		the state of the s	96.29	418,427.75	91.39	(22,429.63)	
	13847		1,169,898.70	91.11	1,135,170.90	88.41	(34,727.80)	
	8145			84.44	621,381.29	88.41	27,902.14	
	11029		814,002.49	91.23	788,823.83	88.41	(25,178.66)	
	7639		617,930.26	95.27	573,442.44	88.41	(44,487.83)	
	9546		the state of the s	95.81	786,778.97	88.41	(65,862.47)	
	5678	5183.22	450,036.37	86.83	448,386.35	86.51	(1,650.02)	
	9274	8357.35	the contract of the contract o	96.50	771,238.79	92.28	(35,254.39)	
	5431	4199.96	485,954.85	115.70	363,327.18	86.51	(122,627.67)	-259
	3282	3047.93	242,053.94	79.42	263,668.18	86.51	21,614.25	99
	11152	10912.32	940,727.34	86.21	955,016.74	87.52	14,289.41	29
	5862	4809.45	438,584.67	91.19	425,190.47	88.41	(13,394.20)	-3%
	6957	6465.16	596,200.73	92.22	565,037.51	87.40	(31,163.23)	-5%
	10261	8565.07	841,018.83	98.19	757,214.68	88.41	(83,804.15)	-109
	5424	4307.09	450,040.92	104.49	376,944.87	87.52	(73,096.04)	-169
	8073	7217.8	636,599.63	88.20	630,816.21	87.40	(5,783.42)	-19
	14632	12469.16	1,105,695.40	88.67	1,102,364.72	88.41	(3,330.67)	09
	8134	7753.82	679,823.53	87.68	685,494.26	88.41	5,670.73	19

 $^{^{\}star}$ no minor surgery , no IUCD's and Opted Out of OOH therefore lower £ / patient

This page is intentionally blank